

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,949	12/10/2003	Ilya Avrutov	1662/51203 6309	
7590 11/15/2004			EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C	
Suite 700 1500 K Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20005		1626	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/730,949	AVRUTOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah C Lambkin	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX-(6) MONTHS from t cause the application to become ABANDONED	will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,	* ************************************			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 27-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	yn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage d. &\www.			
Attachment(s)		DEBORAH C. LAMBKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kammerer et al (US 4,284,786).

Kammerer et al teach the compound lefluomide having antirheumatic properties (see abstract).

Applicant is claiming a product by process which is a product claim, not a process claim. There is no patentable distinction seen between the instant product and that of the prior art. The structure of the compound is known and remains the same eventhough it contains more or less impurities. Furthermore, a purer product in and of itself does not necessarily impart patentability to an otherwise known product, absent some unobvious or unexpected result. One of ordinary skill in the art would expect a purer product to have more beneficial properties over its otherwise less purer counterpart.

No patentable distinction is seen between the instant product and that of the prior art.

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/730,949

Art Unit: 1626

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Faasch et al (6,060,494).

Faasch et al (6,060,494) teach a different crystal form of leflunomide which is useful for treating rheumatoid arthritis as well as proliferative disorders such as cancer (see abstract).

Likewise, as discussed above, there is no patentable distinction seen between the instant product and that of the prior art. A product by process claim is a product claim even though the process may be novel and unobvious. Furthermore, a product by process claim is usually used when the product cannot be described in any other way or when the structure is unknown, in which neither is the case here. Leflunomide has a definite structure, N-(4-trifluromethylphenyl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Joseph McKane, can be reached on 571-272-0699.

Deborah C. Lambkin Primary Patent Examiner